

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 11/10/1998 ODYS10004WSW 09/189,819 AYMAN FAWAZ 5932

09/16/2003

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD. SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER TRAN, PHUC H ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		_	
		09/189,819	FAWAZ ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		PHUC H TRAN	2666
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 March 2003</u> .			
2a)∏		s action is non-final.	
3)□	-		reseaution as to the morits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,10-14 and 16-18</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,10-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/189,819

Art Unit: 2666

\$ 1. .. if

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5-8, 10-14 & 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6324165 B1)
- With respect to claims 5-7, 10-12, 14, & 16-18, Fan teaches a method for controlling congestion in a network (e.g. a large capacity ATM core switch architecture is disclosed in Fig. 2) having a plurality of switching points comprising:

maintaining a plurality of service level agreements at a first switching point (col. 3, lines 16-19), each SLA having a corresponding minimum data rate (col. 3, lines 35-37);

transmitting data packets corresponding to each SLA at or above the minimum data rate in accordance with the respective SLA (col. 3, lines 14-25);

receiving a message from a second switching point at the first switching point to indicate that traffic between a source and a destination is congested (e.g. the DRC feedback in Fig. 2);

adjusting a data rate at which packets corresponding to an SLA, destined for the destination, are output from the first switching point in response to receiving the message to reduce the congestion (col. 3, lines 50-53, and col. 7, lines 45-58).

Fan fails to teach the SLA in the network, however Fan teaches the QoS in the ATM network (col. 2, lines 8-18) for qualify the transmission in the network. Therefore, it would have

Page 3

been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to understand the QoS or SLA are for controlling the transmission in the communication based on the desire and negotiate between the source and destination.

- With respect to claims 8, & 13, Fan discloses wherein maintaining SLAs further comprises separating the data packets into different queues corresponding to each different SLA (e.g. blocks 32 in Fig. 3).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-8, 10-14,16-18 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO form 892.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H TRAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-7471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9314.

Phuc Tran **Assistant Examiner** Art Unit 2664

P.t September 3, 2003 DANG TON